

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,379	ABRAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mina Haghighatian	1616	

All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) Joe Snyder.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
11-12 and 75-88


Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Snyder because the Application was in in condition for allowance except for the withdrawn claims which had not been finally cancelled. Mr. Snyder agreed to cancel claims 75-88, drawn to methods of treatment but requested rejoinder of claims 11-12, which depend on claim 10, considered allowable. Examiner agreed and it was decided that amendments would be done by way of an Examiner's amendment, attached. .